UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/705,248	11/12/2003	Jeffrey Alan Middlesworth	TRED23 (503 US)	3193
	7590 05/01/200 SANDERS, LLP	8	EXAMINER	
5200 BANK OI	F AMERICA PLAZA		RUDDOCK, ULA CORINNA	
600 PEACHTREE STREET, NE ATLANTA, GA 30308			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			1794	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/01/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Applicat	ion No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/705,2	248	MIDDLESWORT	MIDDLESWORTH ET AL.	
		Examine	r	Art Unit		
		Ula C. R	uddock	1794		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communic or Reply	ation appears on th	ne cover sheet wit	th the correspondence a	ddress	
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any (ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MA asions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this community period for reply is specified above, the maximum stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply with the set or extended period for reply wi	ILING DATE OF T 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no e lication. tory period will apply and II, by statute, cause the ap	THIS COMMUNIC event, however, may a re will expire SIX (6) MON' oplication to become AB.	CATION. Poply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Responsive to communication(s) filed This action is FINAL . 2b Since this application is in condition fo closed in accordance with the practice	o)∏ This action is or allowance excep	non-final. ot for formal matte	•	ne merits is	
Dispositi	on of Claims					
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)⊠ 8)□ Applicat i	Claim(s) 20-22,26-29,34,37 and 39-53 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are Claim(s) 45-50 is/are allowed. Claim(s) 20-22,26-28,34 and 39-44 is/ Claim(s) 29,37 is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction on Papers The specification is objected to by the lateral transfer of the drawing(s) filed on is/are: a Applicant may not request that any objection is a specifical transfer of the lateral transfer of the late	withdrawn from or are rejected. on and/or election Examiner. a) \(\sum \) accepted or born to the drawing(s)	onsideration. requirement. o) objected to be held in abeyan	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	SED 1 121/d)	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119	•				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
2) Notic 3) Inform	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	D-948)	Paper No(s	ummary (PTO-413))/Mail Date formal Patent Application 		

Application/Control Number: 10/705,248 Page 2

Art Unit: 1794

DETAILED ACTION

1. The Examiner has carefully considered Applicant's response filed January 17, 2008.

2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. Claims 20-22, 26-28, 34, 39, 40, 41, 42, 43, 44, and 51-53 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nissing (US 6,270,875). Nissing discloses a wiping article having a first layer and a second plastic film layer. The first layer has an extensibility greater than the film layer. The first layer can be a dry creped web of cellulosic fibers and the second layer can be an apertured, three-dimensional plastic film (abstract). The first layer is joined to the second layer via an adhesive bond pattern (col 4, ln 10-57). The first layer is a nonwoven layer that has at least 25% extensibility and can be made of cellulosic fibers (i.e. wood pulp). The first layer is preferably creped (col 6, ln 21-43). The second layer is preferably apertured and can be formed from a polyethylene or polypropylene film material (col 7, ln 21-26). The wipe may comprise a third layer, which can also be a cellulosic nonwoven creped layer (col 8, ln 51-67 to col 9, ln 1-10). Nissing discloses the claimed invention except for the teaching that the bonding points occur between a plurality of points on the first surface of the elastic layer and a plurality of high points on the nonwoven layer.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to have limited the bonding to a plurality of points on the first surface of the elastic layer and a plurality of high points

on the nonwoven layer, motivated by the desire to create a composite that has increased dimensional stability and lamination strength.

Regarding claim 39, in the absence of unexpected results it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to have made the perforated elastic layer have an elongation to break of at least 50%, since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Aller,* 105 USPQ 233. In the present invention, one would have optimized the elongation to break motivated by the desire to create a composite that has the desired pliability.

Rejection is maintained.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed January 17, 2008, have been fully considered but they are not persuasive for the reasons set forth. Applicant argues that Nissing's film layer would not inherently have some degree of elasticity. As set forth in the previous Office Action, Applicant has not set forth a quantity of elasticity. Furthermore, because Nissing's film layer is apertured, there would be some inherent elasticity. Therefore, the rejection is maintained.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 5. Claims 29 and 37 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 6. Claims 45-50 are allowed.

Application/Control Number: 10/705,248 Page 4

Art Unit: 1794

7. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: no prior art was found to teach the claimed limitations in independent claims 45 and 48.

Conclusion

8. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ula C. Ruddock whose telephone number is 571-272-1481. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Milton Cano can be reached on 571-272-1398. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/705,248 Page 5

Art Unit: 1794

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Ula C Ruddock/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1794